# Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 SAN SA 01525 252117 Z

66

ACTION SS-15

INFO OCT-01 ARA-11 ADP-00 CU-04 AID-20 CIAE-00 INR-10

NSAE-00 RSC-01 DODE-00 PM-09 USIA-12 IO-12 RSR-01

/096 W

----- 031839

R 252020 Z APR 73 FM AMEMBASSY SAN SALVADOR TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2663

CONFIDENTIAL SAN SALVADOR 1525

E. O. 11652: GDS TUGS: PFOR

SUBJECT: YOUTH PARA

**REF: STATE 070302** 

- 1. THE COUNTRY TEAM AGREES THAT CAREER POTENTIAL RATHER THAN BIOLOGICAL AGE OF TARGET GROUP IS A MUCH MORE VALUABLE CRITERION FOR PROGRAM PURPOSES. THE COUNTRY TEAM SUGGESTS THAT "YOUTH" BE DROPPED AS A PROGRAM DESIGNATOR IN FAVOR OF "POTENTIAL LEADER" OR SOMETHING SIMILAR.
- 2. REPLIES TO REFTEL FOLLOW:
- I. YOUTH CATEGORIES -
- A. 10 PERCENT YOUNG POLITICAL LEADERS
- 25 PERCENT- YOUNG MILITARY OFFICERS
- 20 PERCENT YOUNG BUREAUCRATS
- $\,\,$  5 PERCENT YOUNG PROFESSIONALS IN THE CHURCH
- $\,\,$  5 PERCENT YOUNG PROFESSIONALS IN LAW
- 15 PERCENT YOUNG PROFESSIONALS IN BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
- 5 PERCENT YOUNG PROFESSIONALS IN EDUCATION
- 5 PERCENT UNIVERSITY STUDENT LEADERS
- 10 PERCENT YOUNG FACULTY AND ADMINISTRATION OF SELECTED UNIVERSITIES ( CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY AND SUPERIOR NORMAL SCHOOLS).
- B. RATIONALE: SELECTIONS ARE BASED ON THE RELATIVE CURRENT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 SAN SA 01525 252117 Z

AND ANTICIPATED FUTURE IMPORTANCE OF THE SECTOR CHOSEN IN THE POLITICAL LIFE OF THE COUNTRY, KEEPING IN MIND US INTERESTS AND OBJECTIVES.

C. SHORT TERM YOUTH IMPACT - NO YOUTH GROUP, INSTITUTION OR CATEGORY IS EXPECTED TO HAVE ANY SIGNIFIANT IMPACT ON US INTERESTS IN THE NEXT TWO TO FOUR YEARS. THE SALVADORAN TRADITIONALIST POLITICAL LANDSCAPE HAS A DEARTH OF INSTITUTIONS. NEW INSTITUTIONS ARE NOT LIKELY TO SPRING TO INFLUENCE OVERNIGHT NOR ARE POTENTIAL LEADERS EXPECTED TO DISPLACE CURRENT LEADERS IN EXISTING SECTORS IN THE INDICATED TIME FRAME.

#### II. OBJECTIVES

- A. YOUTH OBJECTIVES --
- 50 PERCENT ASSURE ACCESS TO KEY FUTURE LEADERSHIP
- 15 PERCENT DEVELOP INFLUENTIAL RELATIONSHIPS
- 15 PERCENT PROMOTE UNDERSTANDING OF US GOALS AND POLICIES
- $10\,$  PERCENT THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT OF YOUTH CONTACTS
- UNDERSTAND AND FOLLOW INCIPIENT POLITICAL TRENDS IN SOCIETY.
- 10 PERCENT COUNTER ACTIVITIES OF FORCES HOSTILE TO THE U.S.
- B. THESE CHOICES ARE BASED ON CONSIDERATION OF US INTERESTS IN EL SALVADOR, THE RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF YOUTH AND THE FEASIBILITY OF ATTAINING THE OBJECTIVES.

#### III. RESOURCES

- A. RESOURCES
- 30 PERCENT CU INTERNATIONAL VISITOR TRAVEL GRANTS
- 20 PERCENT AID TRAINING PROGRAM
- 10 PERCENT MILITARY TRAINING PROGRAMS
- 10 PERCENT DOD OR MAP ORIENTATION TOURS
- 20 PERCENT USIA CULTURAL AND INFORMATIONAL PROGRAMMING
- B. IMPROVEMENTS NO SUGGESTIONS.
- C. PRIVATE RESOURCES THE ESTABLISHMENT OF LASTING CONTACT AND LIAISON BETWEEN MEMBERSHIP OF US PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND EX- GRANTEES OR STUDENTS WOULD CONTRIBUTE SIGNIFICANTLY TO PROMOTING YOUTH PROGRAM OBJECTIVES.
- D. PEACE CORPS THE PEACE CORPS HAS NO ROLE IN THE OFFICIAL MISSION EFFORT TO ACHIEVE YOUTH OBJECTIVES.
- IV. RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF YOUTH
- A. THE RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF THE YOUTH PROGRAM IN TERMS OF OVERALL US INTERESTS IN THE HOST COUNTRY IS LOW.
- B. SALVADORAN SOCIETY REMAINS BASICALL TRADITIONAL. THE DOMINANT POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC INSTITUTIONS ARE RELATIVELY SOLIDLY ENTRENCHED. POTENTIAL LEADERS WILL MOST LIKELY HAVE CONFIDENTIAL

### CONFIDENTIAL

## PAGE 03 SAN SA 01525 252117 Z

TO EXERCISE INFLUENCE THROUGH EXISTING INSTITUTIONS AND HARMONIZE THEIR ASPIRATIONS AND INITIATIVES TO CONFORM TO A CERTAIN EXTENT WITH POLICIES ACCEPTABLE TO CURRENT LEADERS OF THOSE INSTITUTIONS.

- C. PERCENTAGE OF US EFFORT WHICH SHOULD BE EARMARKED FOR YOUTH PROGRAM:
  - 1. PERSONNEL TIME:

(A) PERSONNEL TIME: PERCENTAGE - 10 PERCENT; TOTAL MAN

MONTHS PER YEAR - 35.

(B) ALLOCATION

CONTACT WORK- 50 PERCENT

REPORTING - 10 PERCENT

PROGRAM WORK - 40 PERCENT

(C) DIVISION OF RESPONSIBILITY

STATE - 20 PERCENT

USIA - 20 PERCENT

AID - 20 PERCENT

DOD - 40 PERCENT

2. PROGRAM RESOURCES

CU BUDGET - 33 PERCENT

USIA BUDGET - 10 PERCENT

AID BUDGET - 1/2 PERCENT

MAP BUDGET - 7 PERCENT.

MOSKOWITZ

CONFIDENTIAL NMAFVVZCZ

\*\*\* Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* n/a

\*\*\* Current Classification \*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL

# Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 25 APR 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: elyme
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973SANSA01525

Document Number: 1973SANSA01525 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GDS Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: SAN SALVADOR Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730450/aaaaivwv.tel Line Count: 134 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE Office: ACTION SS

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 73 STATE 070302 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: elyme

Review Content Flags: Review Date: 16 JAN 2002

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <16-Jan-2002 by willialc>; APPROVED <06-Feb-2002 by elyme>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN

Status: <DBA CORRECTED> jms 980130

Subject: YOUTH PARA TAGS: PFOR, n/a To: SECSTATE WASHDC

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005